	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/645,305	PRUSS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wayne Langel	1754
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Wayne Langel.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Abel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-49		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
Mayrea, Langel		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Abel that the restriction requirement was being modified to the extent that claims11-13 are considered to be linking only among the inventions of Groups I, V, VI and VII as outlined in the restriction requirement made 10-27-05, and not among all the groups of inventions. Mr. Abel agreed to cancel claims 14-29 as drawn to non-elected inventions..